

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/762,000
 01/17/01
 FINE
 J
 20-073-JF

QM02/1015

LAMBERT & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 92 STATE STREET, BOSTON MA 02109-2004

į,

EXAMINER PHILLIPS, C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3751

DATE MAILED:

10/15/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	TA - B B Al
	Application N . Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 375/
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	7
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	D EXPIRE
from the mailing date of this communication.	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . te, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	1-1
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	/ 8 1
☐ This action is Final.	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disp sition of Claims	
polaim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
CXClaim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
 □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are object 	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	iou io by the Examinot.
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of t □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number creceived in this national stage application from the International stage application from t	the priority documents have been
*Certified copies not received:	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statem nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	lo(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cit d, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	
·	- -
Office	e Acti n Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,000

Art Unit: 3751

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- 2. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 6, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nachbaue

The base is seen at 14 and the bracket 11 is adjustably mounted there to as best seen in Fig.3.

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones.

 The base is 38 with bracket 30 adjustable attached thereto via 40.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3, 7, 8 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones.

The species are said to constitute and obvious variant of Fig. 1 and as such would have been unpatentable over Jones as set forth supra.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,000 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C. Phillips at telephone number (703) 308-1515.

C. Phillips

06 October 2001

Charles E. Phillips Primary Examiner